

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/781,578	09/781,578 02/12/2001		William L. Chenevich	11416-742001	9721	
26191	7590	08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
		OSON P.C. HER PLAZA		RUDY, ANDREW J		
60 SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEA	POLIS, M	N 55402		3627		
				DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/781,57	78	CHENEVICH ET AL.	50
ction Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Andrew Jo	seph Rudy	3627	
B DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
E OF THIS COMMUNICATI e available under the provisions of 37 Cl om the mailing date of this communication cified above is less than thirty (30) days, pecified above, the maximum statutory p set or extended period for reply will, by Office later than three months after the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a re story minimum of thirty I expire SIX (6) MONT	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communicatio	n.
communication(s) filed on	22 April 2004.			
		on-final.		
			rs, prosecution as to the merits is	3
ordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Qua	a <i>yl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
is/are pending in the applica	ation			
		deration		
	rawn nom consi	deration.		
	nd/or election re	auirement		
_ ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		quirerrient.		
awing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required	d if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	<b>I</b> ).
claration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Not	e the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
C. § 119				
ome * c) None of:			19(a)-(d) or (f).	
			-UUN	
			eceived in this National Stage	
			anivad	
a detailed emoc detion for a	iist of the certific	ed copies not re	ceiveu.	
4-1 (DTO 200)				
ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I		
ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE OF THIS COMMUNICATION THE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of a variable under the provisions of 37 communication of the mailing date of this communication of the mailing date of this communication of the mailing date of this communication of the communication of the thin three months after the three th	Examiner Andrew Jo  FATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO  E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even on the mailing date of this communication. Criffed above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application of the communication of the communica	Andrew Joseph Rudy  Andrew Joseph Rudy  Andrew Joseph Rudy  ATAUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC  E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejorn the mailing date of this communication.  The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejorn the mailing date of this communication.  The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejorn the mailing date of this communication of thirty pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT is set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if the transfer of the communication of the provided the provided the provided the provided to the communication of the provided the pr	Examiner Ant Unit Andrew Joseph Rudy  3627  3 DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  18 evaliable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled mether being did even the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled mether being did even the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled mether being did even the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled mether being did even the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled mether being did even the provisions of 37 CFR 1.05(a). The notion is been periodically be the considered timely. Pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will be become plantabloation.  20 Communication for allowable of this communication, even if timely filed, may reture any return timely filled, may reture any return timely.  20 Communication(s) filled on 22 April 2004.  FINAL. 2b)

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-23 are pending. Claims 1-11 are still withdrawn from consideration.
- 2. Applicant's April 22, 2004 submission of the Information Disclosure Statement and Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is noted. However, no art accompanied these statements. Thus, the art has not been reviewed. It is suggested that due the shear volume of the art Applicant desires the Examiner to review that the relevance of each be commented upon if Applicant attempts to have these statements reviewed.

## Drawings

3. The drawing was received on June 24, 2002. This drawing is acceptable. Overall, however, the Applicant's drawings are not deemed acceptable in relationship to the descriptive portion of the specification and the claims. For example, where is a financial clearinghouse disclosed in the drawing figures as recited in the claims 17 and 21? The specification discusses common knowledge clearing houses, e.g. Federal Reserve Bank from page 33, lines 3-5, but these do not appear in the drawing figures. The Examiner is left to speculate as to whether it is element 292 disclosed in the present Application. Other issues, e.g. payment nodes, are similar in nature and have not been corrected. No new matter may be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,578

Art Unit: 3627

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant does not disclose a settlement computer coupled to a plurality of remote payment modes by a communications network, aggregating payment information comprising payment values, remote payment parties, number of payments and number of payment values from the "DETAILED DESCRIPTION" of the specification in juxtaposition to the drawings. It is noted the "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" discusses briefly discusses payment nodes. As is, it is not clear how the claim language is supported by the specification as a whole. Applicant is required to specifically point out the specific portions of the present application where support for the claim language may be found. No new matter may be added.

Applicant's REMARKS that the Examiner admits that the claims have word-for-word written description support in the Summary of the Invention has no support from the previous Office Action. The comment that the ""SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" discusses briefly discusses payment nodes" does not support these REMARKS. Applicant is invited to

Application/Control Number: 09/781,578

Art Unit: 3627

particularly point out where such statement was made in the previous Office Action. Also, regarding Applicant's REMARKS, under the 35 U.S.C. 112 portion, Applicant's reference to a

settlement computer 20 is noted. However, no such language "settlement computer 20" is present in the descriptive portion of the specification. Applicant's written description, e.g. page 10, line 24 to page 23, line 4; page 32, line 19 to page 34, line 7; or page 34, line 19 to page 35, line 25, referenced does not clearly support the claim language recited by Applicant. Specific support for the claim language in juxtaposition to the descriptive portion of the specification and drawings is required. As is, the claim language in relationship to the other portions of the disclosure is not clear to the Examiner.

It is noted that from Applicant's specification, page 34, line 23, "bus322" needs correction.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 18-23, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawlor et al, US 6,202,054.

Lawlor discloses a system used with financial institution for payment transactions comprising a payment manager computer system, e.g. 52, coupled to a plurality of remote payment nodes, e.g. col. 54, in a communications network, e.g. Fig. 1, where payments may be aggregated, e.g. col. 32, lines 18-37, Fig. 9. In broad scope and content the payment nodes may be viewed Lawlor does not disclose the term settlement computer or financial clearinghouse. To

Application/Control Number: 09/781,578

Art Unit: 3627

Doing such would implement common knowledge within the financial processing art.

Regarding claim 23, this would have appeared an obvious factor multiplication for one of ordinary skill in the art.

6. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3627

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anohew Joseph Fredy August 2, 2004